

Total Masses of Mixed Monge-Ampère Currents

ALEXANDER RASHKOVSKII

ABSTRACT. Mixed Monge-Ampère currents generated by plurisubharmonic functions of logarithmic growth are studied. Upper bounds for their total masses are obtained in terms of growth characteristics of the functions. In particular, this gives a plurisubharmonic version of D. Bernstein's theorem on the number of zeros of polynomial mappings in terms of the Newton polyhedra, and a representation for Demailly's generalized degrees of plurisubharmonic functions.

1 Introduction

Our starting point is the classical problem on numeric characteristics for zero sets of polynomial mappings $P : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^m$. If $m \geq n$ and P has discrete zeros, this is about the total number of the zeros counted with multiplicities, and for $m < n$ the characteristics are the projective volumes of the corresponding holomorphic chains Z_P . When $m = 1$, the volume equals the degree of the polynomial P , while for $m > 1$ the situation becomes much more difficult. In particular, in the general case no exact formulas can be obtained in terms of the exponents and the problem reduces to finding appropriate upper bounds. An example of such a bound is given by Bezout's theorem: if $m = n$ and P has discrete zeros, then their number does not exceed the product of the degrees of the components of P . An alternative estimate is due to Kouchnirenko [10], [11]: the number of the zeros is at most $n!$ times the volume of the *Newton polyhedron of P at infinity* (the convex hull of all the exponents of P and the origin). A refined version of the latter result was obtained by D. Bernstein [3]. He showed that the number of (discrete) zeros of a Laurent polynomial mapping P on $(\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\})^n$ is not greater than $n!$ times the mixed volume of the *Newton polyhedra* (the convex hulls of the exponents) of the components of P .

Here we put this problem into a wider context of pluripotential theory. This can be done by considering plurisubharmonic functions $u = \log |P|$ and

studying the Monge-Ampère operators $(dd^c u)^p$; we use the notation $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$, $d^c = (\partial - \bar{\partial})/2\pi i$. The key relation is the King-Demailly formula which implies that if the codimension of the zero set is at least p then $(dd^c u)^p \geq Z_P$ (with an equality if $p = m \leq n$). And the problem of estimating total masses of the Monge-Ampère operators of plurisubharmonic functions u of logarithmic growth was studied in [21]. In particular, a relation was obtained in terms of the volume of a certain convex set generated by the function u , which in case $u = \log |P|$ is just the Newton polyhedron of P at infinity.

On the other hand, the holomorphic chain Z_P with $m = p \leq n$ can be represented as the wedge product of the currents (divisors) $dd^c \log |P_k|$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, which leads to consideration of the *mixed* Monge-Ampère operators $dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_m$ and estimating their total masses. Another motivation for this problem are generalized degrees $\int_{\mathbf{C}^n} T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^p$ of positive closed currents T with respect to plurisubharmonic weights φ due to Demailly [4].

So, our main subject is mixed Monge-Ampère currents generated by arbitrary plurisubharmonic functions of logarithmic growth. Using the approach developed in [21] we get effective bounds for the masses of the currents. As a consequence, this gives us a plurisubharmonic version of Bernstein's theorem adapted, in particular, for polynomial mappings of $\mathbf{C}^n$. In addition, we get a representation for the generalized degrees of $(1, 1)$ -currents.

The paper can be considered as a continuation of [21], and we provide the reader with necessary definitions and statements from there.

2 Preliminaries and description of results

We consider plurisubharmonic functions u of logarithmic growth in $\mathbf{C}^n$,

$$u(z) \leq C_1 \log^+ |z| + C_2$$

with some constants $C_j = C_j(u)$. The collection of all such functions will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ or simply by $\mathcal{L}$. Various results on such functions are presented, e.g., in [1], [2], [14], [15], [22]. For general properties of plurisubharmonic functions and the complex Monge-Ampère operators, we refer the reader to [13], [16], [9], and [8].

The (*logarithmic*) *type* of a function $u \in \mathcal{L}$ is defined as

$$\sigma(u) = \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(z)}{\log |z|}$$

which can be viewed as the Lelong number of u at infinity. The corresponding counterpart for the directional (refined) Lelong numbers are directional types

$$\sigma(u, a) = \limsup_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(z)}{\varphi_a(z)} \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$\varphi_a(z) = \sup_k a_k^{-1} \log |z_k|, \quad a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{R}_+^n, \quad (2.2)$$

see [21]. One can also consider the types $\sigma(u, \varphi)$ with respect to arbitrary plurisubharmonic exhaustive functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$\sigma(u, \varphi) = \limsup_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(z)}{\varphi(z)}. \quad (2.3)$$

One more characteristic is the *logarithmic multitype* $(\sigma_1(u), \dots, \sigma_n(u))$ [15]:

$$\sigma_1(u) = \sup \{ \tilde{\sigma}_1(u; z') : z' \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1} \} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_1(u; z')$ is the logarithmic type of the function $u_{1,z'}(z_1) = u(z_1, z') \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C})$ with $z' \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$ fixed, and similarly for $\sigma_2(u), \dots, \sigma_n(u)$. For example, if P is a polynomial of degree d_k in z_k , then $\sigma_k(\log |P|) = d_k$.

Another (and original) definition for the Lelong numbers is in terms of the currents $dd^c u$, which works for arbitrary positive closed currents. This leads to the notion of degree of a current. Let $\mathcal{D}_p^+(\Omega)$ be the collection of all closed positive currents of bidimension (p, p) on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^n$. We will consider currents $T \in \mathcal{D}_p^+(\mathbf{C}^n)$ with finite projective mass, or *degree*

$$\delta(T) = \int_{\mathbf{C}^n} T \wedge \left(\frac{1}{2} dd^c \log(1 + |z|^2) \right)^p;$$

the set of all such currents is denoted by $\mathcal{LD}_p^+$. The degree of $T \in \mathcal{LD}_p^+$ can also be represented as

$$\delta(T) = \int_{\mathbf{C}^n} T \wedge (dd^c \log |z|)^p$$

and as the density of the trace measure $\sigma_T = T \wedge \beta_p$ of the current T :

$$\delta(T) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_T(|z| < r)}{\text{mes}_{2p}(|z| < r)}.$$

When $T = [A]$ is the current of integration over an algebraic set A of pure dimension p , the degree $\delta([A])$ coincides with the degree of the set A defined as the number of sheets in the ramified covering map $A \rightarrow L$ to a generic p -codimensional plane L . Note also that any current $T \in \mathcal{LD}_{n-1}^+$ has the form $T = dd^c u$ with $u \in \mathcal{L}$, and $\delta(dd^c u) = \sigma(u)$, see [16].

The *generalized degrees*

$$\delta(T, \varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^p \quad (2.5)$$

with respect to plurisubharmonic weights φ were introduced in [4] as a powerful tool for studying polynomial mappings and algebraic sets.

We are concerned with the problem of evaluation of

$$\mu(T, u_1, \dots, u_p) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} T \wedge dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_p$$

for currents $T \in \mathcal{LD}_p^+$ and functions $u_j \in \mathcal{L}$ in terms of the distribution of T and growth characteristics of u_k . The idea is to replace the functions u_k by certain plurisubharmonic functions v_k with simpler asymptotic properties. A relation between the corresponding total masses is provided by Comparison Theorem 3.1 which shows that the value of $\mu(T, u_1, \dots, u_p)$ is a function of the asymptotic behavior of u_k at infinity. The theorem is an extension of B.A. Taylor's theorem [23] on the total mass of $(dd^c u)^n$ of $u \in \mathcal{L} \cap L_{loc}^\infty$. At the same time, it is an analogue for Demailly's Second Comparison Theorem 5.9 [8] on generalized Lelong numbers.

By taking $v_k = \log |z|$ we get a bound in terms of the types of u_k and the degree of T (Corollary 3.1)

$$\mu(T, u_1, \dots, u_p) \leq \delta(T) \sigma(u_1) \dots \sigma(u_p),$$

and the choice $v_k = \varphi_a$ leads to that in terms of the corresponding directional characteristics (Corollary 3.2).

Sharper bounds are obtained with $v_k = \Psi_{u_k, x}$, the indicators of u_k , introduced in [21] (see the definition and basic properties in Section 4). We have

$$\mu(T, u_1, \dots, u_p) \leq \mu(T, \Psi_{u_1, x}^+, \dots, \Psi_{u_p, x}^+)$$

(Proposition 4.1), and the problem is to find an effective evaluation of the right-hand side. This can be done in the case of $T = 1$, $p = n$. Namely, the

convex function $\psi_{u,x}^+(t) := \Psi_{u_1,x}^+(\exp t_1, \dots, \exp t_n)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}^n$, is the support function to the convex set

$$\Theta^u = \{a \in \mathbf{R}^n : \langle a, t \rangle \leq \psi_{u,x}^+(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}^n\},$$

and

$$\mu(\Psi_{u_1,x}^+, \dots, \Psi_{u_n,x}^+) = n! \text{Vol}(\Theta^{u_1}, \dots, \Theta^{u_n}), \quad (2.6)$$

Minkowski's mixed volume of the sets Θ^{u_k} (Theorem 4.1).

The above considerations are applied in Section 5 to investigation of the generalized degrees $\delta(T, \varphi)$ (2.5). By Proposition 4.1, we are reduced to the values $\delta(T, \Psi_{\varphi,y})$. In the particular situation of $T = dd^c u$ we prove the relation $\delta(dd^c u, \Psi_{\varphi,y}) = \delta(dd^c \Psi_{u,x}, \Psi_{\varphi,y})$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{C}^n$. We study the so-called swept out Monge-Ampère measures of indicator weights in Theorem 5.3. As a consequence, we get a representation for $\delta(dd^c u, \Psi_{\varphi,y})$ in terms of the sets Θ^u and Θ^φ in Corollary 5.2.

Finally, in Section 6 we specify our results for currents generated by polynomial mappings. In particular, we observe that (2.6) implies the following analogue for D. Bernstein's inequality (Corollary 6.1): the projective volume $\delta(Z_P)$ of the holomorphic chain Z_P generated by a polynomial mapping $P = (P_1, \dots, P_p)$ in general position, $1 \leq p \leq n$, has the bound

$$\delta(Z_P) \leq n! \text{Vol}(G_1^+, \dots, G_p^+, \Delta, \dots, \Delta)$$

with G_j^+ the Newton polyhedron of the polynomial P_j at infinity and $\Delta = \{t \in \mathbf{R}_+^n : \sum t_j \leq 1\}$ the standard simplex in $\mathbf{R}^n$. We also derive a number of other bounds (like Bezout's and Tsikh's theorems) as direct consequences of our general results on mixed Monge-Ampère operators.

3 Comparison theorem for mixed operators

A q -tuple of plurisubharmonic functions $u_1, \dots, u_q$ will be said to be *properly intersected*, or *in general position*, with respect to a current $T \in \mathcal{D}_p^+$, $p \geq q$, if their unboundedness loci $A_1, \dots, A_p$ satisfy the condition: for all choices of indices $j_1 < \dots < j_k$, $k \leq q$, the $(2q - 2k + 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the set $A_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{j_k} \cap \text{supp } T$ equals zero. If this is a case, the current $T \wedge dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_q$ is well-defined and has locally finite mass ([8], Th. 2.5).

We recall that a function u in $\mathbf{C}^n$ is called *semi-exhaustive* on a set A if $\{u < R\} \cap A \subset \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ for some real R , and *exhaustive* if this is valid for all R .

Theorem 3.1 (Comparison Theorem) *Let $T \in \mathcal{LD}_p^+$, $u_1, \dots, u_p \in \mathcal{L}$ be properly intersected with respect to T , and $v_1, \dots, v_p \in \mathcal{L}$ be semi-exhaustive on $\text{supp } T$. If for any $\eta > 0$,*

$$\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty, z \in \text{supp } T} \frac{u_j(z)}{v_j(z) + \eta \log |z|} \leq l_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p,$$

then $\mu(T, u_1, \dots, u_p) \leq l_1 \dots l_p \mu(T, v_1, \dots, v_p)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the conditions

$$\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty, z \in \text{supp } T} \frac{u_j(z)}{v_j(z) + \eta \log |z|} < 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p, \quad \forall \eta > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

imply

$$\mu(T, u_1, \dots, u_p) \leq \mu(T, v_1, \dots, v_p). \quad (3.2)$$

Without loss of generality we can also take $\varphi > 0$ on $\mathbf{C}^n$.

For any $N > 0$, the functions $u_{j,N} := \max\{u_j, -N\}$ still satisfy (3.1). Then for any $\eta > 0$ and $C > 0$, the set

$$E_j(C) = \{z \in \text{supp } T : v_j(z) + \eta \log |z| - C < u_{j,N}(z)\}$$

is compactly supported in the ball B_{α_j} for some $\alpha_j = \alpha_j(C, \eta, u_{j,N}, v_j)$. Put $\alpha = \max_j \alpha_j$, $E(C) = \cup_j E_j(C)$, $F(C) = \cap_j E_j(C)$,

$$w_{j,C} = \max\{v_j(z) + \eta \log |z| - C, u_{j,N}\}.$$

Since $w_{j,C} = v_j(z) + \eta \log |z| - C$ near $\partial B_{\alpha} \cap \text{supp } T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\alpha}} T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c w_{j,C} &= \int_{B_{\alpha}} T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c (v_j + \eta \log |z|) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{C}^n} T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c (v_j + \eta \log |z|). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any compact subset K of $\text{supp } T$ one can find C_K such that $K \subset F(C)$ for all $C > C_K$, so

$$\int_{B_R} T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c w_{j,C} \leq \int_{\mathbf{C}^n} T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c (v_j + \eta \log |z|)$$

for any $R > 0$ and all $C > C_R$. In addition,

$$T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c w_{j,C} \rightarrow T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c u_{j,N}$$

as $C \rightarrow +\infty$ (the functions $w_{j,C}$ decrease to $u_{j,N}$) and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c u_{j,N} &\leq \limsup_{C \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c w_{j,C} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{C}^n} T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c (v_j + \eta \log |z|). \end{aligned}$$

Since η is arbitrary, we derive the inequality

$$\int_{B_R} T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c u_{j,N} \leq \int_{\mathbf{C}^n} T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c v_j$$

and finally, letting $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_{B_R} T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c u_j \leq \int_{\mathbf{C}^n} T \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq p} dd^c v_j$$

for any $R > 0$, which gives us (3.2) and thus completes the proof.

Remark. As follows from the theorem,

$$\mu(T, u_1, \dots, u_p) \leq \mu(T, \max\{u_1, \alpha_1\}, \dots, \max\{u_p, \alpha_p\})$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^p$, and the right-hand side is independent of α . The inequality here can be strict, which follows from the consideration of the function $u(z_1, z_2) = \log(|z_1|^2 + |z_1 z_2 + 1|^2)$. We have $\mu(u, u) = 0$ while $\mu(u^+, u^+) = 4$, the latter relation being verified by comparing u^+ with the function $\max\{\log |z_1|, \log |z_1 z_2|, 0\}$ whose total Monge-Ampère mass can be calculated by Proposition 4.2 below. This shows that the condition on the functions v_j in Theorem 3.1 to be semi-exhaustive is essential.

An immediate application of Comparison Theorem is the following bound for the total mass of the current $T \wedge dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_p$ in terms of the degree $\delta(T)$ of T and logarithmic types $\sigma(u_j)$ of u_j .

Corollary 3.1 *If $T \in \mathcal{LD}_p^+$ and $u_1, \dots, u_p \in \mathcal{L}$ are properly intersected with respect to T , then*

$$\mu(T, u_1, \dots, u_p) \leq \delta(T) \sigma(u_1) \dots \sigma(u_p).$$

In particular, $T \wedge dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_{p-k} \in \mathcal{LD}_k^+$, $0 \leq k \leq p$.

Moreover, we have a refined bound in terms of the generalized characteristics $\sigma(u, \varphi)$ and $\delta(T, \varphi)$ with respect to weights φ (2.3), (2.5).

Corollary 3.2 *Let $T, u_1, \dots, u_p$ satisfy the conditions of Corollary 3.1 and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$ be an exhaustive weight. Then*

$$\mu(T, u_1, \dots, u_p) \leq \delta(T, \varphi) \sigma(u_1, \varphi) \dots \sigma(u_p, \varphi).$$

Being specified to the case $T = 1$, $p = n$ and $\varphi = \varphi_a$ this gives us the following bound in terms of the directional types $\sigma(u_j, a)$ of u_j (2.1).

Corollary 3.3 *If the functions $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{L}$ are properly intersected, then*

$$\mu(u_1, \dots, u_n) \leq \inf_{a \in \mathbf{R}_+^n} \frac{\sigma(u_1, a) \dots \sigma(u_n, a)}{a_1 \dots a_n}.$$

4 Bounds in terms of indicators

More precise bounds can be obtained by means of indicators of functions from the class $\mathcal{L}$.

Developing the notion of local indicator introduced in [17], the (global) *indicator* of a function $u \in \mathcal{L}$ at $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$ was defined in [21] as

$$\Psi_{u,x}(y) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} R^{-1} \sup\{u(z) : |z_k - x_k| \leq |y_k|^R, 1 \leq k \leq n\} \text{ for } y_1 \dots y_n \neq 0,$$

and it extends to a plurisubharmonic function of the class $\mathcal{L}$. The indicator controls the behavior of u in the whole $\mathbf{C}^n$ ([21], Th. 1):

$$u(z) \leq \Psi_{u,x}(z - x) + C_x \quad \forall z \in \mathbf{C}^n \quad (4.1)$$

with C_x the supremum of u on the unit polydisk centered at x . Besides, it is a (unique) logarithmic tangent to u at x , i.e., the weak limit in $L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{C}^n)$ of the functions

$$u_m(y) = m^{-1} u(x_1 + y_1^m, \dots, x_n + y_n^m) \quad (4.2)$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$ ([21], Th. 2).

Note that the indicator of $\log |z|$ at x equals $\max_k \log |y_k|$ if $x = 0$, and $\max_k \log^+ |y_k|$ for any other point x .

The asymptotic characteristics (types) of u can be easily expressed in terms of its indicator or, which is more convenient, of the convex image

$$\psi_{u,x}(t) = \Psi_{u,x}(e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_n}), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

of the indicator ([21], Prop. 3): for each $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$,

$$\sigma(u) = \sigma(u, (1, \dots, 1)) = \psi_{u,x}(1, \dots, 1),$$

$$\sigma(u, a) = \psi_{u,x}(a) \quad \forall a \in \mathbf{R}_+^n, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\sigma_k(u) = \psi_{u,x}(e_k) \quad 1 \leq k \leq n \quad (4.4)$$

with $e_1, \dots, e_n$ the standard basis of $\mathbf{R}^n$. Note that the restriction of $\psi_{u,x}$ to $\mathbf{R}_+^n$ is independent of $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$ ([21], Prop. 7).

By (4.1), Theorem 3.1 implies

Proposition 4.1 *Let $T \in \mathcal{LD}_p^+$, $u_1, \dots, u_p \in \mathcal{L}$ be properly intersected with respect to T , and $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$. Then*

$$\mu(T, u_1, \dots, u_p) \leq \mu(T, \Psi_{u_1,x}^+, \dots, \Psi_{u_p,x}^+).$$

Corollary 4.1 *Let $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{L}$ be properly intersected and $x^k \in \mathbf{C}^n$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(u_1, \dots, u_n) &\leq \mu(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, \Psi_{u_n,x^n}^+) \leq \mu(u_1, \dots, \Psi_{u_{n-1},x^{n-1}}^+, \Psi_{u_n,x^n}^+) \dots \\ &\leq \mu(u_1, \Psi_{u_1,x^1}^+, \dots, \Psi_{u_n,x^n}^+) \leq \mu(\Psi_{u_1,x^1}^+, \dots, \Psi_{u_n,x^n}^+). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. The choice of $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$ can affect the value of the total Monge-Ampère mass of the indicators. For example, let $u(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + |z_1 z_2|^2)$, then $\Psi_{u,0}(y) = \log^+ |y_1 y_2|$ has zero mass, while the mass of $\Psi_{u,(1,1)}(y) = \max\{\log^+ |y_1|, \log^+ |y_2|, \log^+ |y_1 y_2|\}$ equals 2 (see Proposition 4.2 below).

To get an interpretation for the masses of indicators, we proceed as in [21]. Let Φ be an abstract indicator in $\mathbf{C}^n$, that is, a plurisubharmonic function depending only on $|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|$ and satisfying the homogeneity condition

$$\Phi(|z_1|^c, \dots, |z_n|^c) = c \Phi(|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|) \quad \forall c > 0. \quad (4.5)$$

The functions φ_a (2.2) are particular examples of the indicators. It is clear that $\Phi \leq 0$ in the unit polydisk $\mathbf{D}$ and is strictly positive on

$$\mathbf{D}^{-1} = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n : |z_k| > 1, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$$

unless $\Phi \equiv 0$.

Let us assume $\Phi \geq 0$ on $\mathbf{C}^n$. In this case, $(dd^c\Phi)^n$ is supported by the distinguished boundary $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbf{D}$ ([21], Th. 6). Denote

$$\varphi(t) = \Phi(e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_n}), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad (4.6)$$

the convex image of Φ in $\mathbf{R}^n$, and

$$\Theta^\Phi = \{a \in \mathbf{R}^n : \langle a, t \rangle \leq \varphi(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}^n\}. \quad (4.7)$$

It is easy to see that Θ^Φ is a convex compact subset of $\overline{\mathbf{R}_+^n}$. By the construction, φ is the support function of Θ^Φ . The *real* Monge-Ampère operator applied to φ gives us the δ -function δ_0 with the mass $\text{Vol}(\Theta^\Phi)$. By comparing the real and complex Monge-Ampère operators we get

$$\mu(\Phi, \dots, \Phi) = n! \text{Vol}(\Theta^\Phi) \quad (4.8)$$

(see the details in [21], Th. 6).

This can be extended to the mixed Monge-Ampère operators of indicators:

Proposition 4.2 *Let $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n$ be nonnegative indicators. Then*

$$dd^c\Phi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c\Phi_n = \mu \, dm$$

with dm the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{T}$, and

$$\mu = \mu(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n) = n! \text{Vol}(\Theta^{\Phi_1}, \dots, \Theta^{\Phi_n}).$$

Proof. By the polarization formula for the complex Monge-Ampère operator,

$$\bigwedge_k dd^c\Phi_k = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq n} \left(dd^c \sum_{k=1}^j \Phi_{j_k} \right)^n. \quad (4.9)$$

Since the sum of indicators is an indicator as well, the support of $\bigwedge_k dd^c\Phi_k$ is a subset of $\mathbf{T}$. In view of the translation invariance of this measure, it has the form $\mu (2\pi)^{-n} d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n$.

By (4.8), each term of the right-hand side of (4.9) equals $n!$ times the corresponding volume, so the left-hand side is just the mixed volume of the convex sets Θ^{Φ_k} .

Since $\Phi = \Psi_{u,x}^+$ satisfies (4.5), Corollary 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 give us the main result of the section.

Theorem 4.1 *Let functions $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{L}$ be properly intersected, $x^k \in \mathbf{C}^n$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then*

$$\mu(u_1, \dots, u_n) \leq n! \operatorname{Vol}(\Theta^{\Phi_1}, \dots, \Theta^{\Phi_n})$$

with the sets Θ^{Φ_k} defined by (4.6) - (4.7) for $\Phi = \Phi_k = \Psi_{u_k, x^k}^+$.

As a consequence we can get a bound for $\mu(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ in terms of the types $\sigma_k(u_j)$ of u_j with respect to z_k (2.4).

Corollary 4.2 *If $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{L}$ are properly intersected, then*

$$\mu(u_1, \dots, u_n) \leq n! \operatorname{per}(\sigma_k(u_j))_{j,k=1}^n,$$

where $\operatorname{per} A$ denotes the permanent of the matrix A .

Proof. As follows from (4.4), the set Θ^{Φ_j} is a subset of the rectangle $[0, \sigma_1(u_j)] \times \dots \times [0, \sigma_n(u_j)]$, and the mixed volume of the rectangles $[0, a_{1j}] \times \dots \times [0, a_{nj}]$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, equals $\operatorname{per}(a_{jk})_{j,k=1}^n$.

5 Degrees with respect to plurisubharmonic weights

Given a subset A of $\mathbf{C}^n$, we denote by $W(A)$ the collection of all continuous, as mappings to $[-\infty, +\infty)$, functions (*weights*) $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$ exhaustive on A .

Let $T \in \mathcal{LD}_p^+$, then the current (measure) $T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^p \in \mathcal{LD}_0^+$ is well-defined for any $\varphi \in W(\operatorname{supp} T)$. We put

$$\delta(T, \varphi) = \int_{\mathbf{C}^n} T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^p$$

be the (generalized) degree of T with respect to the weight φ , see [4].

Observe that $\delta(T, \varphi) = \delta(T, \varphi^+)$ since φ is assumed to be exhaustive on $\operatorname{supp} T$. Note also that $\delta(T, \varphi) = \delta(T)$ if $\varphi(z) = \log |z|$.

Generalized degrees of currents can be viewed as generalized Lelong numbers at infinity, and we start here with two semicontinuity properties parallel to those for the Lelong numbers, cf. [8].

Proposition 5.1 *Let $T_m, T \in \mathcal{LD}_p^+$, $T_m \rightarrow T$. Then for any weight φ from $W(\overline{\cup_m \text{supp } T_m})$,*

$$\delta(T, \varphi) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \delta(T_m, \varphi).$$

Proof follows immediately from Prop. 3.12 of [8].

Proposition 5.2 *Let weights $\varphi_k, \varphi \in W(\text{supp } T)$ be such that for some $t \in \mathbf{R}$ the functions $\max\{\varphi_k, t\}$ converge to $\max\{\varphi, t\}$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C}^n$. Then*

$$\delta(T, \varphi) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta(T, \varphi_k).$$

Proof. Since $\delta(T, \max\{\varphi, t\}) = \delta(T, \varphi)$ for any weight $\varphi \in W(\text{supp } T)$, we can take $\varphi_k \rightarrow \varphi$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C}^n$.

For any $R > 0$ consider $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbf{C}^n)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, such that $\text{supp } \eta \subset B_R$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_{R/2}$. The relation

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int \eta T \wedge (dd^c \varphi_k)^p = \int \eta T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^p$$

implies

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta(T, \varphi_k) \geq \int \eta T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^p,$$

and the assertion follows.

Comparison Theorem 3.1 for the degrees reads as follows.

Proposition 5.3 *If two weights $\varphi, \psi \in W(\text{supp } T)$ for a current $T \in \mathcal{LD}_p^+$ and*

$$\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty, z \in \text{supp } T} \frac{\varphi(z)}{\psi(z)} \leq l,$$

then $\delta(T, \varphi) \leq l^p \delta(T, \psi)$.

Being applied to indicators, this gives us

Corollary 5.1 *For any current $T \in \mathcal{LD}_p^+$, any weight $\varphi \in W(\text{supp } T)$ and $y \in \mathbf{C}^n$, $\delta(T, \varphi) \leq \delta(T, \Psi_{\varphi, y}^+) = \delta(T, \Psi_{\varphi, y})$.*

More can be said in the case of $T = dd^c u$, $u \in \mathcal{L}$. A weight Φ will be called *homogeneous* if it depends only on $|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|$ and satisfies the homogeneity condition (4.5). In other words, homogeneous weights are (abstract) indicators. Note that a homogeneous weight Φ is exhaustive on $\mathbf{C}^n$ if and only if $\Phi > 0$ on $\mathbf{C}^n \setminus \mathbf{D}$. In addition, it is easy to see that the type $\sigma(u, \Phi)$ with respect to such a weight can be computed as

$$\sigma(u, \Phi) = \max\{\Psi_{u,0}(z) : \Phi(z) = 1\}.$$

It is interesting that the degrees $\delta(dd^c u, \Phi)$ can also be represented in terms of the indicators.

Theorem 5.1 *For any function $u \in \mathcal{L}$, any $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and any homogeneous weight $\Phi \in W(\mathbf{C}^n)$, the equality $\delta(dd^c u, \Phi) = \delta(dd^c \Psi_{u,x}, \Phi)$ holds. In particular, $\delta(dd^c u, \varphi) \leq \delta(dd^c u, \Psi_{\varphi,y}) = \delta(dd^c \Psi_{u,x}, \Psi_{\varphi,y})$ for any weight $\varphi \in W(\mathbf{C}^n)$ and $y \in \mathbf{C}^n$.*

Proof. Consider the family of functions u_m defined by (4.2), $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$. As was mentioned in Section 4, u_m converge (in L^1_{loc}) to $\Psi_{u,x}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, so $dd^c u_m \rightarrow dd^c \Psi_{u,x}$. By Proposition 5.1,

$$\delta(dd^c \Psi_{u,x}, \Phi) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \delta(dd^c u_m, \Phi).$$

However the homogeneity of Φ gives us $\delta(dd^c u_m, \Phi) = \delta(dd^c u, \Phi)$ for each m , so $\delta(dd^c u, \Phi) \geq \delta(dd^c \Psi_{u,x}, \Phi)$ and the desired equality follows then from Corollary 5.1. The theorem is proved.

Remark. A relation between $\sigma(u, \Phi)$ and $\delta(dd^c u, \Phi)$ will be given in Corollary 5.2.

The generalized degrees can be represented by means of the swept out Monge-Ampère measures introduced by Demailly [6]. For $\varphi \in W(\mathbf{C}^n)$, let $B_r(\varphi) = \{z : \varphi(z) < r\}$, $S_r(\varphi) = \{z : \varphi(z) = r\}$, $\varphi_r = \max\{\varphi, r\}$. The *swept out Monge-Ampère measure* μ_r^φ is defined as

$$\mu_r^\varphi = (dd^c \varphi_r)^n - \chi_r (dd^c \varphi)^n$$

with χ_r the characteristic function of $\mathbf{C}^n \setminus B_r(\varphi)$. It is a positive measure on $S_r(\varphi)$ with the total mass $\mu_r^\varphi(S_r(\varphi)) = (dd^c \varphi)^n(B_r(\varphi))$. If $\text{supp}(dd^c \varphi)^n \subset B_R(\varphi)$, then $\mu_r^\varphi = (dd^c \varphi_r)^n$ for all $r > R$.

For $\varphi(z) = \log |z - x|$, μ_r^φ is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the sphere $\{z : |z - x| = e^r\}$, and for $\varphi = \varphi_a$ it is supported by the set

$$\mathbf{T}_{ra} = \{z : z_k = \exp(ra_k + i\theta_k), 1 \leq k \leq n\} \quad (5.1)$$

and has the form $\mu_r^{\varphi_a} = (a_1 \dots a_n)^{-1} (2\pi)^{-n} d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n$ [8].

The role of the measures μ_r^φ is clarified by the Lelong-Jensen-Demailly formula [6], [8]: for any function u plurisubharmonic in $B_R(\varphi)$,

$$\mu_r^\varphi(u) - \int_{B_r(\varphi)} u (dd^c \varphi)^n = \int_{-\infty}^r \int_{B_t(\varphi)} dd^c u \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^{n-1} dt \quad \forall r < R.$$

Theorem 5.2 (cf. [6], [8]) *Let $u \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\varphi \in W(\mathbf{C}^n)$. Then*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_r^\varphi(u)}{r} \leq \delta(dd^c u, \varphi) \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_r^\varphi(u^+)}{r}. \quad (5.2)$$

If, in addition,

$$\text{supp}(dd^c \varphi)^n \subset B_{r_0}(\varphi) \quad (5.3)$$

for some r_0 , then $r \mapsto \mu_r^\varphi(u)$ is a convex function of $r \in (r_0, \infty)$ and

$$\delta(dd^c u, \varphi) = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu_r^\varphi(u)}{r}. \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. From the Lelong-Jensen-Demailly formula we have for any $r > r_0$,

$$\mu_r^\varphi(u) = \int_{B_{r_0}(\varphi)} u (dd^c \varphi)^n + \int_{B_r(\varphi) \setminus B_{r_0}(\varphi)} u (dd^c \varphi)^n + \int_{-\infty}^r \delta(dd^c u, \varphi, t) dt \quad (5.5)$$

with

$$\delta(dd^c u, \varphi, t) = \int_{B_t(\varphi)} dd^c u \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^{n-1}.$$

If φ satisfies (5.3) then the right-hand side is a convex function of r , and (5.4) follows. When (5.3) is not assumed, take any $\epsilon > 0$ and choose r_0 such that $(dd^c \varphi)^n(\mathbf{C}^n \setminus B_{r_0}(\varphi)) < \epsilon$ and $u(z) \leq (\sigma(u, \varphi) + \epsilon)\varphi(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbf{C}^n \setminus B_{r_0}(\varphi)$. Then we get

$$\mu_r^\varphi(u) \leq \text{Const} + (\sigma(u, \varphi) + \epsilon)r\epsilon + \int_{-\infty}^r \delta(dd^c u, \varphi, t) dt$$

which gives us the first inequality in (5.2). To get the second inequality, consider the functions $u_N(z) = \max\{u(z), -N\}$, $N > 0$. By Proposition 5.1,

the number N can be chosen such that $\delta(dd^c u_N, \varphi) \geq \delta(u, \varphi) + \epsilon$. Application of (5.5) to the function u_N gives us

$$\mu_r^\varphi(u^+) \geq \mu_r^\varphi(u_N) \geq \text{Const} - N\epsilon + \int_{-\infty}^r \delta(dd^c u, \varphi, t) dt$$

and thus finishes the proof.

The structure of the swept out Monge-Ampère measures for homogeneous weights is given by

Theorem 5.3 *Let $\Phi \in W(\mathbf{C}^n)$ be a homogeneous weight. For any function u plurisubharmonic in $B_R(\Phi)$, $R > 0$, the swept out Monge-Ampère measure μ_r^Φ on the set $S_r(\Phi)$, $0 < r < R$, is determined by the formula*

$$\mu_r^\Phi(u) = n! \int_{E^\Phi} \lambda(u, rt) d\gamma_1^\Phi(t)$$

where $\lambda(u, rt)$ is the mean value of u over the distinguished boundary of the polydisk $\{|z_k| < \exp\{rt_k\}, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ and the measure γ_1^Φ on the set E^Φ of extreme points of the convex set $\{t \in \mathbf{R}^n : \Phi(e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_n}) \leq 1\}$ is given by the relation $\gamma_1^\Phi(F) = \text{Vol } \Theta_F^\Phi$ for compact subsets F of E^Φ , the set Θ_F^Φ being defined by relations (5.8), (5.9), and (5.6) below.

Corollary 5.2 *For any $\varphi \in W(\mathbf{C}^n)$, $u \in \mathcal{L}$ and $x, y \in \mathbf{C}^n$,*

$$\delta(dd^c u, \varphi) \leq \delta(dd^c u, \Psi_{\varphi, y}) = n! \int_{E^\Phi} \psi_{u, x}(t) d\gamma_1^\Phi(t)$$

with $\Phi = \Psi_{\varphi, y}$ and $\psi_{u, x}$ the convex image of the indicator $\Psi_{u, x}$. In particular, for any homogeneous weight Φ , $\delta(dd^c u, \Phi) \leq \sigma(u, \Phi) \mu(\Phi, \dots, \Phi)$.

Remark. A description for the swept out Monge-Ampère measures for (negative) local indicators was given in [20] and it resulted in the fact that the generalized Lelong numbers of a plurisubharmonic function can be expressed in terms of those with respect to the weights φ_a (its directional Lelong numbers). As follows from Corollary 5.2, this is no longer true for the generalized weights.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Since $(dd^c \Phi)^n = 0$ on $\{\Phi > 0\}$, we have $\mu_r^\Phi = (dd^c \Phi_r)^n$ for each $r > 0$. By the rotation invariance,

$$\mu_r^\Phi = (2\pi)^{-n} d\theta \otimes d\rho_r^\Phi$$

with some measure ρ_r^Φ supported by $S_r(\Phi) \cap \mathbf{R}^n$. Moreover, since μ_r^Φ has no masses on the pluripolar set $S_r(\Phi) \cap \{z : z_1 \dots z_n = 0\}$, we can pass to the coordinates $z_k = \exp\{t_k + i\theta_k\}$, $-\infty < t_k < \infty$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. The functions

$$\varphi(t) := \Phi(e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_n}), \quad \varphi_r(t) = \Phi_r(e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_n}) = \max\{\varphi(t), r\}$$

are convex in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and increasing in each t_k . Simple calculations show that in these coordinates ρ_r^Φ transforms into the measure

$$\gamma_r^\Phi = n! \mathcal{MA}[\varphi_r]$$

where $\mathcal{MA}$ is the *real* Monge-Ampère operator, see the details, e.g., in [19]. We recall that for smooth functions v ,

$$\mathcal{MA}[v] = \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t_j \partial t_k} \right) dt,$$

and it can be extended as a positive measure to any convex function (see [18]). So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_r^\Phi(u) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{[0, 2\pi]^n} u(z_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, z_n e^{i\theta_n}) d\theta d\rho_r^\Phi(|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|) \\ &= n! \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \lambda(u, t) d\gamma_r^\Phi(t) = n! \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \lambda(u, rt) d\gamma_1^\Phi(t) \end{aligned}$$

since $\varphi_r(t) = r\varphi_1(t/r)$, and we only need to find an explicit expression for the measure γ_1^Φ supported in the level set

$$L^\Phi = \{t \in \mathbf{R}^n : \varphi(t) = 1\}. \quad (5.6)$$

As follows from properties of the real Monge-Ampère operator,

$$\int_F \mathcal{MA}[\varphi_1] = \text{Vol}(\omega(F, \varphi_1)) \quad \forall F \subset L^\Phi, \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$\omega(F, \varphi_1) = \bigcup_{t^0 \in F} \{a \in \mathbf{R}^n : \varphi_1(t) \geq 1 + \langle a, t - t^0 \rangle \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$$

is the gradient image of the set F .

Given a subset F of L^Φ , we put

$$\Gamma_F^\Phi = \{a \in \mathbf{R}_+^n : \sup_{t \in F} \langle a, t \rangle = \sup_{t \in L^\Phi} \langle a, t \rangle = 1\} \quad (5.8)$$

and

$$\Theta_F^\Phi = \{\lambda a : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, a \in \Gamma_F^\Phi\}. \quad (5.9)$$

Note that $\Theta_{L^\Phi}^\Phi$ is a bounded convex subset of $\mathbf{R}_+^n$ and φ is its support function. We claim that for any compact subset F of L^Φ , $\Theta_F^\Phi = \omega(F, \varphi_1)$.

If $a \in \omega(F, \varphi_1)$ then for some $t^0 \in F$,

$$\langle a, t^0 \rangle \geq \langle a, t \rangle - \varphi_1(t) + 1 \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}^n. \quad (5.10)$$

In particular,

$$\langle a, t^0 \rangle \geq \langle a, t \rangle \quad \forall t \in L^\Phi. \quad (5.11)$$

When $t = ct_0$ with $c > 1$, (5.10) implies $\langle a, t^0 \rangle \leq 1$. In view of (5.11) it means that $a \in \Theta_F^\Phi$ and thus $\omega(F, \varphi_1) \subset \Theta_F^\Phi$.

Let now $a \in \Theta_F^\Phi$, so $a = \lambda a^0$, $a^0 \in \Gamma_F^\Phi$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Then there is a point $t^0 \in F$ such that

$$\langle a, t^0 \rangle = \sup_{t \in F} \langle a, t \rangle = \sup_{t \in L^\Phi} \langle a, t \rangle = \lambda.$$

Take any $t \in \mathbf{R}^n$. If $\varphi(t) \leq 1$, then $\langle a, t^0 \rangle \geq \langle a, t \rangle$ and thus $\varphi_1(t) \geq 1 + \langle a, t - t^0 \rangle$. If $\varphi(t) = \alpha > 1$, then $t/\alpha \in L^\Phi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a, t \rangle - \varphi_1(t) + 1 &= \alpha \langle a, t/\alpha \rangle + 1 - \alpha \leq \alpha \sup_{s \in L^\Phi} \langle a, s \rangle + 1 - \alpha \\ &= \alpha \langle a, t^0 \rangle + 1 - \alpha = \alpha \lambda + 1 - \alpha \leq \lambda = \langle a, t^0 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so $a \in \omega(F, \varphi_1)$. The claim is proved.

Finally, let E^Φ be the set of extreme points of L^Φ (that is, those not situated inside intervals on L^Φ). As $L^\Phi \subset \{t \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{R}_-^n : t_k \leq b_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ for some $b \in \mathbf{R}_+^n$, we have

$$\sup_{t \in L^\Phi} \langle a, t \rangle = \sup_{t \in E^\Phi} \langle a, t \rangle \quad \forall a \in \mathbf{R}_+^n,$$

so that $\Theta_{L^\Phi}^\Phi = \Theta_{E^\Phi}^\Phi$. Hence $\gamma_1^\Phi(L^\Phi) = \gamma_1^\Phi(E^\Phi)$ and then $\text{supp } \gamma_1^\Phi \subset E^\Phi$. The proof is complete.

6 Algebraic case: Newton polyhedra

Here we test our results for the case of currents generated by polynomial mappings.

When $u = \log |P|$ for a polynomial P , $\sigma(u)$ is the degree of P , $\sigma_k(u)$ is its degree with respect to z_k , $\psi_{u,x}(t) = \max \{ \langle t, J \rangle : J \in \omega_x(P) \}$ where

$$\omega_x(P) = \{ J \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n : \frac{\partial^J P}{\partial z^J}(x) \neq 0 \},$$

see [21]. Note that the maximum is attained on the set of extreme points $E_x(P)$ of the set $\omega_x(P)$. In particular, $E_0(P)$ coincides with the set of extreme points of the convex hull of the exponentials of the polynomial P . This means that the set Θ^Φ with $\Phi = \Psi_{u,0}$ equals

$$G^+(P) = \text{conv} (E_0(P) \cup \{0\}), \quad (6.1)$$

the *Newton polyhedron of P at infinity* as defined in [10].

Let now $P = (P_1, \dots, P_p)$ be a polynomial mapping, $u_j = \log |P_j|$, then $Z_j = dd^c u_j$ is the divisor of P_j . Let the zero sets A_j of P_j be properly intersected, that is, $\text{codim } A_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{j_m} \geq m$ for all choices of indices $j_1, \dots, j_m$, $m \leq p$. Then the holomorphic chain Z of the mapping P is the intersection of the divisors Z_j : $Z = Z_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Z_p$ ([8], Prop. 2.12).

In this setting, Corollary 3.1 turns to the bound

$$\int_{\mathbf{C}^n} T \wedge Z \leq \delta(T) \delta_1 \dots \delta_p$$

via the degrees δ_j of P_j . For $T = 1$ this gives Bezout's inequality for the projective volume of the chain Z . The specification of Corollary 3.2 with $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$ gives a bound in terms of the degrees in terms of a quasi-homogeneous mapping $P(z^a)$, a global counterpart for Tsikh-Yuzhakov's theorem on multiplicity of holomorphic mappings in terms of the weighted initial homogeneous polynomial terms [25]. And Corollary 4.2 implies a result of Tsikh [24].

Theorem 4.1 now takes the form

Corollary 6.1 *The degree (projective volume) $\delta(Z)$ of the holomorphic chain Z generated by a polynomial mapping $P = (P_1, \dots, P_p)$, $p \leq n$, with the zero sets of its components P_k properly intersected, has the bound*

$$\delta(Z) \leq n! \text{Vol} (G_1^+, \dots, G_p^+, \Delta, \dots, \Delta),$$

G_j^+ being the Newton polyhedron of the polynomial P_j at infinity (defined by (6.1)) and $\Delta = \{t \in \mathbf{R}_+^n : \sum t_j \leq 1\}$ the standard simplex in $\mathbf{R}^n$. In particular, when $p = n$, the number of zeros of P counted with their multiplicities does not exceed $n! \text{Vol}(G_1^+, \dots, G_n^+)$.

When $P_j(0) = 0$, the set G_j^+ is strictly greater than the convex hull $E_0(P_j)$ of the set $\omega_0(P_j)$ appearing in Bernstein's theorem. But in return we take care of all the zeros while Bernstein's theorem estimates only those in $(\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\})^n$. And actually no bound for the total number is possible in terms of just the convex hulls of the exponents (see, e.g., $f(z) \equiv z$).

An algebraic specification of Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 5.2 is as follows. Let Φ be the indicator of $\log |P|$ for a polynomial mapping $P : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^p$, $p \geq n$, with discrete zeros. Then the set $\Gamma_{L^\Phi}^\Phi$ is the Newton diagram for P and $\Theta_{L^\Phi}^\Phi = G^+(P)$ is the Newton polyhedron for P at infinity, that is, the convex hull of the sets $G^+(P_k)$, $1 \leq k \leq p$. In this case, the set $E^\Phi = \{t^1, \dots, t^N\}$ is finite, it is just normals to the $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces $\Gamma_j(P)$ of the polyhedron situated outside the coordinate planes, with the condition $\Phi(t^j) = 1$. The measure γ_1^Φ charges t^j with the volume of the convex hull $G_j^+(P)$ of the corresponding face $\Gamma_j(P)$ and 0, so

$$\delta(dd^c u, \log |P|) \leq \delta(dd^c u, \Psi_{\log |P|, 0}) = n! \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \psi_{u, 0}(t^j) \text{Vol}((G_j^+(P))).$$

References

- [1] E. BEDFORD AND B.A. TAYLOR, *Plurisubharmonic functions with logarithmic singularities*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **38** (1988), 133–171.
- [2] E. BEDFORD AND B.A. TAYLOR, *Uniqueness for the complex Monge-Ampère equation for functions of logarithmic growth*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **38** (1989), 455–469.
- [3] D. BERNSTEIN, *The number of roots of a system of equations*, Functional Anal. Appl. **9** (1975), 183–185.
- [4] J.P. DEMAILLY, *Sur les nombres de Lelong associés à l'image directe d'un courant positif fermé*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **32** (1982), no. 2, 37–66.

- [5] J.P. DEMAILLY, *Formules de Jensen en plusieurs variables et applications arithmétiques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **110** (1982), 75-102.
- [6] J.P. DEMAILLY, *Mesures de Monge-Ampère et caractérisation géométrique des variétés algébriques affines*, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N. S.) **19** (1985), 1-124.
- [7] J.P. DEMAILLY, *Nombres de Lelong généralisés, théorèmes d'intégralité et d'analyticité*, Acta Math. **159** (1987), 153-169.
- [8] J.-P. DEMAILLY, *Monge-Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory*, Complex Analysis and Geometry (Univ. Series in Math.), ed. by V. Ancona and A. Silva. Plenum Press, New York 1993, 115–193.
- [9] M. KLIMEK, *Pluripotential theory*. Oxford University Press, London, 1991.
- [10] A.G. KOUCHNIRENKO, *Newton polyhedron and the number of solutions of a system of k equations with k indeterminates*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **30** (1975), no. 2, 266–267.
- [11] A.G. KOUCHNIRENKO, *Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor*, Invent. Math. **32** (1976), 1–31.
- [12] P. LELONG, *Fonctions entières de type exponentiel dans $\mathbf{C}^n$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier **16** (1966), 271–318.
- [13] P. LELONG, *Plurisubharmonic functions and positive differential forms*, Gordon and Breach, New York, and Dunod, Paris, 1969.
- [14] P. LELONG, *Fonctions plurisousharmoniques de croissance logarithmique dans $\mathbf{C}^n$, parti principale, extension du résultant des polynômes*. Semin. Conf. **8**, pp. 211–229. Cetraro, Editel Italie, 1991.
- [15] P. LELONG, *Mesure de Mahler et calcul de constantes universelles pour les polynômes de N variables*, Math. Ann. **299** (1994), 673–695.
- [16] P. LELONG AND L. GRUMAN, *Entire Functions of Several Complex Variables*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1986.
- [17] P. LELONG AND A. RASHKOVSKII, *Local indicators for plurisubharmonic functions*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **78** (1999), 233–247.

- [18] J. RAUCH AND B. A. TAYLOR, *The Dirichlet problem for the multidimensional Monge-Ampère equation*, Rocky Mountain Math. J. **7** (1977), 345–364.
- [19] A. RASHKOVSKII, *Newton numbers and residual measures of plurisubharmonic functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. **75** (2000), no. 3, 213–231.
- [20] A. RASHKOVSKII, *Lelong numbers with respect to regular plurisubharmonic weights*, Results Math. **39** (2001), 320–332.
- [21] A. RASHKOVSKII, *Indicators for plurisubharmonic functions of logarithmic growth*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear. Available also at <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CV/9911240>
- [22] J. SICIĄK, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in $\mathbf{C}^n$* , Ann. Polon. Math. **39** (1981), 175–211.
- [23] B.A. TAYLOR, *An estimate for an extremal plurisubharmonic function on $\mathbf{C}^n$* , Séminaire P. Lelong, P. Dolbeault, H. Skoda, Année 1982/1983. Lecture Notes Math. **1028** (1983), 318–328.
- [24] A.K. TSIKH, *Bezout’s theorem in the space of theory of functions. Solution of systems of algebraic equations*. In: Some problems of multidimensional complex analysis (Russian), pp. 185–196, Akad. Nauk SSSR Sibirsk. Otdel., Inst. Fiz., Krasnoyarsk, 1980.
- [25] A.P. YUZHAKOV AND A.K. TSIKH, *The multiplicity of the zero of a system of holomorphic functions*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **19** (1978), no. 3, 693–697. (Russian)

Tek/Nat
 Høgskolen i Stavanger
 POB 2557 Ullandhaug
 N-4091 Stavanger
 Norway
 E-MAIL: alexander.rashkovskii@tn.his.no